Q1. Sheet 1 of 64;

Shows the project been done into Share 1 & Share 2. However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2.

Q2. Sheet 5 of 64;

Shows Quantities for Share 1. However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2.

Q3. Sheet 6 of 64;

Shows Quantities for Share 2. However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2.

Q4. Sheet 13 of 64;

Shows Quantities for Share 1& 2. However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2.

Q5. Sheet 14 of 64; Shows Quantities for Share 1& 2. However, the

proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2.

Q6. Sheet 20 of 64;

Shows a note Limit of Share ½ Work STA 1+542 -However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2

Q7. Sheet 34 of 64;

Shows a note Limit of Share ½ Work STA 1+542 -However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2

Q8. Sheet 55 of 64;

1 & 2.

Shows a note Limit of Share ½ Work STA 1+542 -However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share 1 & 2

Q9. Sheet 64 of 64; Shows Quantities for Share 1& 2. However, the proposal book does not reflect what shown on the drawing. Please clarify the bid sheet for share

- A1. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A2. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A3. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A4. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A5. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A6. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A7. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A8. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A9. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.

- Q10. How the contractor will be compensated for Mobilization?
- Q11. Could you please provide us with the budget for this project?
- Q12. Do you have the bid sheets in an excel file you could send me?
- Q13. The above referenced project is divided into 2 separate shares. Previous bid had 2 separate share bid items. The bid from included on this proposal does not separate the 2 shares. Please clarify if both shares will need separate pricing or will it be handled as one.
- Q14. Referencing Indiana Bat note on GNN-03; Tree cutting restrictions will not allow for cutting prior to bid and progress schedule would not allow for skipping locations of trees until November 1, 2024. Has City considered felling these trees now and stumping can be part of this package?
- Q15. Referencing MPT Note 6 on GNN-03; Contractors are required to "maintain one lane and one sidewalk

open in EACH direction at all times." As the project consists of removing and replacing curbs, sidewalks, and pavement, how are we to accommodate this requirement and still facilitate the work?

- Q16. There are several notes alluding to being able to implement detours during construction. How are contractors to know during bidding what will be allowed. Can City provide a detour plan that is acceptable for contractors to bid against?
- Q17. Please confirm a Bid Bond is not required for the referenced project as per the Project Title Sheet. The bid security information for bidders' section on page 13 differs. Please kindly clarify.

- A10. Item 699.040001 is included to compensate for Mobilization.
- A11. The anticipated construction cost for this project is in the \$7M to \$8M range.
- A12. Electronic bid sheets are included as a part of this addendum.
- A13. The two shares are associated with two separate funding sources. The quantities of each will be tracked separately during construction. Unit prices are project wide and apply to both shares.
- A14. There are no tree cutting items in the contract. Should there be any tree cutting needed in clearing and grubbing, this shall be done within the allowed window.
- A15. Please revise the first sentence of MPT note 6 on GNN-03 to read "THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ONE (1) LANE IN EACH DIRECTION AND ONE (1) SIDEWALK AT ALL TIMES."
- A16. It is expected that the proposed work can be accommodated by maintaining one travel lane in each direction and one sidewalk. For contractors' convenience, the contractor may submit detours submitted to the engineer for consideration.
- A17. A bid bond is required. Each bid must be accompanied by cash, certified check of the bidder or a bid bond prepared on the Bid Bond Form attached, duly executed by the bidder as principal and having as surety thereon a surety company approved by the Owner, licensed in the state of New York with a minimum rating of "bbb", in the amount of 5% of the total contract bid.

Q18. Please provide in detail what work is included in item 680.79010008 Remove Traffic Signal Equipment.

- Q19. Sorry if this obvious but we cannot locate the 590' of 12" DIP and most of the proposed hydrants.
- Q20. Drawing 13- you only want 2" of subbase under the sidewalks?
- Q21. The typical cross section appears to show 6" curb reveal but this is not possible based on the existing grades
- Q22. What is the maximum amount of sidewalk that can excavated and for how long? Beacon typically only permits one block at a time, on one side only.
- Q23. How many HC ramps per intersection can be open at a time? Typical is one per quadrant
- Q24. Please address note 2 on sheet 17. The contractor needs to verify existing grades, there are no grades on the drawings or table of curbs.
- Q25. Item 552.17 where are we installing 41,872 SF of shoring? Not shown on drainage table

- A18. Remove item 680.79010008 from estimate of quantities shown in the Contract Plan Drawings EOQ-01, EOQ-02 and from the Proposal Book Bid Sheet page 33. Remove item 680.79010008 from the list of Special Specifications in the Proposal Book on page 125 and delets the specification text from the Proposal Book page 158. Item 680.79010008, Remove Traffic Signal Equipment is no longer in the contract.
- A19. The quantity of 12" DIP is assumed for anticipated potential utility conflicts. Relocation of the 12" DIP is not anticipated. A small quantity is in the contract for a potential mitigation. The proposed hydrants are shown on the Drainage and Utility Plans.
- A20. 2" of subbase is proposed to supplement the existing subbase that remains after sidewalk removal.
- A21. The existing terrain along the section of roadway is typically higher than the proposed top of curb elevations. 6" curb reveal is preferred. If significant grade differences are encountered in the field a lesser reveal can be considered in specific locations.
- A22. See note 6 on GNN-03 along with clarification given in response to question 15 above: "THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ONE (1) LANE IN EACH DIRECTION AND ONE (1) SIDEWALK AT ALL TIMES."
- A23. The restrictions noted on GNN-03, note 6, the clarification given in response to question 15 above, along with applicable NYSDOT Standard sheets 619-519 and 619-520 shall govern sidewalk closures.
- A24. The contractor shall be responsible for field verifying all elevations and dimensions of finished work to meet ADA compliance. See special note 4 of the proposal book.
- A25. As per Section 206 of the specifications the contractor is required to maintain safe trenches.Also see section 107-05 section K regarding open excavation and trenches.

Q26. If you mill 4" and install 4" of asphalt in areas where there is no curb reveal the final sidewalk will have no curb reveal, this will consistent thru out the project

- Q27. Sheet 26 & 27 are proposed profiles only, do you have existing
- Q28. Sheet 10, note 2 please clarify how additional binder will be used to make a 2% cross slope without additional milling?
- Q29. The drainage elevations, curb, sidewalk and finish pavement do not match up and will require significant field surveying and engineering, who is responsible for this?
- Q30. Who is responsible for testing
- Q31. Did you perform borings? The earth work summary sheets indicate no rock in the entire project, including all the pipe work(12"& 15" RCP=2083 LF) is this correct?
- Q32. Please consider HDPE drainage pipe in lieu of RCP, much cheaper and faster and what is standard in Beacon.
- Q33. On Sheet 43 there is a pre-cast transverse drain under 605.06 shown.

There is no item in the contract for it and it is not clear where it is shown on the plans.

Q34. Are there any details for items :

633.1301 633.1303

- A26. This is a primarily a milling and overlay project, in which the existing roadway grading is to be used as the control. As per the note shown on profile drawing PRO-01 and applying to all profile drawings (PRO-01 to PRO-5), the existing pavement elevations, grades, and vertical curve data is provided for visual reference only. The proposed roadway shall be installed as per the typical section, meeting existing grades and cross slopes. Delete note 2 on drawing TYP-01 to be consistent with this methodology. A 6" curb reveal is preferred, but if significant grade differences are encountered in the field a lesser reveal can be considered in specific locations.
- A27. Sheets 26 and 27 show existing profiles the contractor shall verify under survey and stakeout item.
- A28. Delete Note 2 on drawing TYP-01 (sheet 10 of 64). See additional clarification in the response to Question 26 above.
- A29. The contractor is responsible to verify existing elevations this cost is included under survey and stakeout items.
- A30. Testing responsibilities as per specifications.
- A31. No borings have been performed.
- A32. HDPE drainage pipe can be considered in lieu of RCP. This will require approval from the city of beacon and the engineer in charge.
- A33. Delete Contract Plan drawing DD-03 (sheet 43 of 64). The pre-cast transverse drain is no longer in the contract.
- A34. Item 633.1401 and 633.1403 are included in the estimate of quantities for sections of pavement that need repair. Locations will be identified in the field by the Engineer.

- Q35. What is the procedure if conflicts are identified via test pits, for existing water, sewer systems, gas, telecoms? Will the Engineer provide direction to what shall be relocated to where? If so, what will the timetable for this direction?
- Q36. What is the current status of utility relocation (poles)? Several still need to be relocated. Has the process been initiated with the relevant Utility companies?
- Q37. Has the City identified any location(s) for Eng. Trailers or material staging areas?
- Q38. Pay Item 605.1701 lists 2,362 LF of 4" Underdrain, while table on MST-02 lists 1,784 LF of 6" Underdrain. Please provide clarification.

- Q39. Three (3) types of curb are listed under Pay Item 609.0901, what is the intended option for proposed curb installation? Or will the Contractor be allowed to propose any of the three?
- Q40. The Utility Plan Sheet's legend lists two different pay items for sediment control devices (209.11020024 & 2019.110200241), but only the first is listed on the Bid Form. Please provide clarification.
- Q41. The Pay Item Quantity for 604.5018 "Offset CB" appears to be based on a sump depth of 0 ft, however the detail shows a typical sump depth of 4 ft. Please confirm if that detail is correct.

- A35. If conflicts are identified in the field the contractor shall coordinate relocations if necessary with the Engineer.
- A36. The process to relocate utility poles has been initiated with the utility companies.
- A37. No locations identified.
- A38. Item 605.1701, corresponding to 4" underdrain shall be used with a quantity of 1,784 LF. Replace the title "6" PERFORATED UNDERDRAIN LOCATION TABLE" of the table on MST-02 with "4" PERFORATED UNDERDRAIN LOCATION TABLE". Change the quantity of item 605.1701 to 976 LF on Contract Plan Drawing EOQ-01 and to 808 LF on Contract Plan Drawing EOQ-02. Change the quantity of item 605.1701 to 1,784 on the Proposal Book Bid Sheet page 27.
- A39. The contractor may propose any of the three, as per the specification associated with item 609.0901.
- A40. Item 209.11020024 is correct and shall be used.
- A41. Bottom slab elevations will be provided in the field. The total structure height for all six offset drainage structures in the plans is 36 LF.

- Q42. General Notes "MPT" states that Contractor is to provide "one lane of traffic in both directions". Will alternating one-way traffic via flaggers satisfy this requirement?
- Q43. Attached is a photo of the current condition of the George Washington Monument. It is poor condition. Does the City want the monument relocated as is? Or if it cannot be relocated in one-piece, and reconstruction is necessary, does the City have a preferred detail/spec for the new base? Are as-builts available for the existing structure?

Q44.

Would it be possible to provide top of proposed curb elevations throughout the project?

Q45. Please advise if a standard OCP policy is acceptable. we reviewed the attached Insurance requirements and note, Special Protective and Highway Liability from Contractors to protect the State.

> An Owners and Contractors Protective Liability policy can be obtained; however, the form CG0014 SPECIAL PROTECTIVE AND HIGHWAY LIABILITY POLICY – NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION is unavailable.

Please advise if this is acceptable.

Q46. Please advise if the NYSDOT requires a PERM 44 for the project. This is an additional bond with a significant cost. If required, what percentage of the project would need to be covered?

- A42. See GNN-03 MPT note 6 along with clarification given in response to question 15 above: "THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ONE (1) LANE IN EACH DIRECTION AND ONE (1) SIDEWALK AT ALL TIMES." The contractor may submit an MPT plan for alternating one -way traffic with flaggers that adheres to the NYSDOT MPT Standard Sheets for consideration.
- A43. The City would like the monument relocated to the new location. However, due to the recent damage to the base, reconstruction of the base shall be included in the unit bid price of this item to restore to near original condition. There are no as-builts. Photos from 2012 and from May 2024 are included here for reference.
- A44. No, the contractor is responsible to provide a survey and stakeout to determine curb elevations cost to be included under the survey and stakeout item.
- A45. As per section 107-06B of the NYSDOT Standard Specifications referenced in Special Note #12, "a policy form providing equivalent coverage along with mandatory New York endorsements" is an acceptable alternate to ISO form CG 00 14 12.

A46. Please see Special Note 8 in the proposal book.

George Washington Bust sculpture at project begin to be relocated, base to be restored to near original condition. Photos for reference. No as built records are available.



Google Photo circa 2012 (back side)

Google Photo circa 2012 (front side)

March 2024 Photo (back side)